# EXHIBIT A

#### FILED: ALBANY COUNTY CLERK 09/09/2024 04:49 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 71

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF ALBANY

ALAYNA WOODS, JENNIFER NELSON, on behalf of herself and her minor child, E.N.-H., DANA BERKLEY on behalf of her minor child, M.B., JAMELLA MONTGOMERY, SUSAN HALL, ARGIRO TZIAKAS, and CHRISTINA KOVALSKY, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ALBANY ENT & ALLERGY SERVICES, PC,

Defendant.

Index No. <u>904730-23</u>

DECLARATION OF DANIELLE L. PERRY IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, EXPENSES, AND SERVICE AWARDS

I, Danielle L. Perry, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of California and the District of Columbia and am a partner at Mason LLP. I am one of the interim co-lead attorneys in the above-captioned action (the "Action"). I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Expenses, and Service Awards.

## Mason LLP's Fees & Expenses

2. My co-counsel and I have spent significant time and resources conducting pre-suit discovery, prosecuting this Action, reaching the Settlement, and administering the Settlement towards preliminary approval.

3. Each of the individuals comprising Class Counsel served as the principal lawyers in charge of aspects of the litigation and worked collaboratively in the case to ensure that Plaintiffs

#### FILED: ALBANY COUNTY CLERK 09/09/2024 04:49 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 71

and the Class which they sought to represent were zealously represented, while also ensuring efficiency and reducing duplicative effort.

- 4. In prosecuting this case, Mason LLP, in conjunction with Interim Co-Lead Class Counsel, performed a significant amount of work, including:
  - a. before filing the complaints, Mason LLP investigated the potential claims against Defendant, interviewed potential plaintiffs, gathered information about the Security Incident and Defendant's data security, considered and identified potential expert witnesses, and conducted extensive legal research into the allegations and best strategy to prosecute the case;
  - b. requesting and reviewing informal discovery from Defendant;
  - c. preparing the initial complaint and Consolidated Complaint;
  - d. engaging in a mediation session with an experienced class action mediator and continuing negotiations for months;
  - consolidating the filed cases before the Court and seeking the appointment of Interim Co-Lead Class Counsel;
  - f. negotiating the terms of the Settlement Agreement with Defendant for weeks through numerous phone calls and emails;
  - g. drafting the Settlement Agreement and notice documents;
  - h. preparing a request for proposal from multiple potential claims administration firms and thereafter going through multiple rounds of bids to ensure Plaintiffs and the Class received the best claims administration and notice plan at a very competitive price;

- i. working with the claims administrator to develop and then implement the Notice program and claims documents;
- j. preparing, finalizing and filing the Preliminary Approval documents and negotiating an extremely favorable Settlement for the Class; and
- k. responding to Class Member phone calls regarding questions about the Settlement.

5. My firm's contemporaneous records of its work on this case reflect that Mason LLP

has incurred a lodestar of \$61,510.00 up to September 5, 2024. A summary indicating the amount of time expended by the partners, associates, and professional support staff of Mason LLP to date, involved in the litigation is set forth below.<sup>1</sup>

Timekeeper	Rate	Hours	Total
Gary Mason, Partner	\$1,050.00	7	\$7,350
Danielle Perry, Partner	\$750.00	17.6	\$13,200.00
Lisa White, Sr. Attorney	\$850.00	26.1	\$22,185.00
Ra Amen, Attorney	\$625.00	24.6	\$15,375.00
Salena Chowdhury, Attorney	\$425.00	0.5	\$212.50
Taylor Heath, Paralegal	\$225.00	8.1	\$1,822.50
Jenni Suhr, Paralegal	\$225.00	5.2	\$1,170.00
Carol Corneilse, Staff	\$150.00	1.3	\$195.00
TOTAL		90.4	\$61,510.00

6. In my opinion and experience, this time was reasonably and justifiably incurred.

7. These hours do not include time Mason LLP spent after September 5, 2024, and will spend on continuing services to the Class, including drafting the final approval motion, responding to Class Members' inquiries, supervising the claims administration process, and overseeing the distribution of payments to Class Members. Based on Mason LLP's experience in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If the Court requires, we can provide detailed billing reports.

other data breach settlements, Mason LLP estimates it will spend many additional hours addressing issues that may arise after final approval, including interfacing with the with claims administrator, Class Members and defense counsel.

8. Mason LLP's current hourly rates are appropriate considering the prevailing rates for similar legal services provided by lawyers of reasonably comparable skill, experience, and reputation. Many other courts have found Mason LLP's current rates to be reasonable in the settlement context. My firm has confirmed that their hourly rates as adjusted for inflation have been accepted by state and federal courts for purposes of lodestar determinations and for purposes of lodestar cross-checks in other recent class action cases.

9. Reasonable hourly rates are determined by "prevailing market rates in the relevant community." *Blum v. Stenson*, 465 U.S. 886, 895 (1984). Class Counsel are entitled to the hourly rates charged by attorneys of comparable experience, reputation, and ability for similar litigation. *Blum*, 465 U.S. at 895 n.11. Here, the relevant community is that of attorneys practicing multistate class action litigation, and in particular data breach litigation.

10. Similar rates have been accepted in numerous other data breach class action cases in the nationwide market. *See, e.g., Fox v. Iowa Health Sys.*, No. 3:18-CV-00327-JDP, 2021 WL 826741, at \*6 (W.D. Wis. Mar. 4, 2021) (data breach settlement awarding \$1,575,000 in attorneys' fees and costs, at hourly rates from \$815-\$865 per hour for partners, \$550-\$625 for senior associates, \$415-\$500 for associates, and \$215-\$350 for paralegals); *Perdue v. Hy-Vee, Inc.*, No. 19-1330, 2021 WL 3081051, at \*5 (C.D. Ill. July 21, 2021) (approving reasonable hourly rates requested by Class Counsel of \$700-\$815 for partners, \$325-\$700 for associates, \$200-\$275 for paralegals, and \$150-\$225 for law clerks); *In re Equifax Inc. Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, No. 1:17-MD-2800-TWT, 2020 WL 256132, at \*39 (N.D. Ga. Mar. 17, 2020) (finding reasonable hourly rates charged by partners who billed \$1050, \$1000 \$750, and \$935 per hour); *In re Yahoo! Inc. Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, No. 16-MD-02752-LHK, 2020 WL 4212811, at \*26 (N.D. Cal. July 22, 2020) (finding reasonable rates from \$450 to \$900 for partners, \$160-\$850 for nonpartner attorneys, and \$50 to \$380 for paralegals); *Fulton-Green v. Accolade, Inc.*, No. CV 18-274, 2019 WL 4677954, at \*12 (E.D. Pa. Sept. 24, 2019) (finding reasonable hourly rates range \$202 to \$975 per hour); *In re Anthem, Inc. Data Breach Litig.*, No. 15-MD-02617-LHK, 2018 WL 3960068, at \*16 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 17, 2018) (finding reasonable hourly rates of partners from \$400 to \$970, non-partner attorneys from \$185 to \$850, and non-attorneys from \$95 to \$440).

11. Since the inception of this litigation, my firm has incurred \$6,046.35 in expenses reasonably and necessarily incurred while prosecuting this Action. My firm's actual out-of-pocket costs expended to date are summarized below.<sup>2</sup> These costs also reflect typical expenses of the type ordinarily passed on to fee-paying clients in a general legal practice and are also typically recoverable in a specialized complex class action practice as they are necessary and reasonable to prosecuting a class action. The total of the expenses for which Mason LLP seeks reimbursement, and which Defendant has agreed to pay, was calculated from receipts, expense vouchers, check records and other documents maintained by Mason LLP in the ordinary course of business.

Description	Total
Filing and Pro Hac Fees	\$46.35
Mediation	\$6,000
Misc. (postage, PACER etc.)	\$0.00
TOTAL:	\$6,046.35

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If the Court requires, we can provide detailed expense reports.

12. Mason LLP kept contemporaneous records and can provide detailed itemizations of their time, lodestar, and expenses.

13. The Fee Motion comports with the terms of the Settlement Agreement. The Settlement Agreement reflects Class Counsel would apply for and Defendant would not object to a fee and expense request for an amount not to exceed \$415,000.00. This provision was negotiated only after all the other settlement terms had been finalized.

14. Given that data breach cases pose unique challenges because this area of law is not yet settled, these cases are often uncertain and hard to predict and may be considered as a less than desirable undertaking, even for seasoned class action attorneys. Mason LLP invested substantial time, effort, and resources into the litigation of this risky and uncertain case with no guarantee or promise of return on its investment. The pursuit of this litigation was an economic risk for Mason LLP and diverted their resources from other cases, some of which were less risky.

15. This matter has required Mason LLP to spend time on this litigation that could have been spent on other matters. At various times during the litigation of this class action, this lawsuit has consumed significant amounts of my time and my firms' time. Such time could otherwise have been spent on other fee-generating work. Because Mason LLP undertook representation of this matter on a contingency-fee basis, Mason LLP shouldered the risk of expending substantial costs and time in litigating the action without any monetary gain in the event of an adverse judgment.

16. If not devoted to litigating this action, from which any remuneration is wholly contingent on a successful outcome, the time Mason LLP spent working on this case could and would have been spent pursuing other potentially fee generating matters.

17. Litigation is inherently unpredictable and therefore risky. Here, that risk was very real, due to the rapidly evolving nature of case law pertaining to data breach litigation, and the

6

state of data privacy law. Therefore, despite Mason LLP's devotion to the case and its confidence in the claims alleged against Defendant, there have been many factors beyond our control that posed significant risks.

18. An award of the requested fees, costs, and expenses is justified under the circumstances of this case, considering the risk, work performed, and the results achieved. The Settlement makes available an immediate cash payment to Settlement Class Members and provides for necessary and identity-theft protection services (with an estimated retail value of \$10 per month or \$120 per year per claimant)<sup>3</sup> to protect Class Members' Private Information.

19. Mason LLP is very experienced in class action litigation, particularly complex litigation and data breach litigation. Mason LLP has successfully litigated dozens of data breach cases in this country to date.

20. Based on my years of practice litigating class actions and other complex actions, I endorse the Settlement and believe it benefits and provides substantial relief to the Settlement Class Members. The Settlement also eliminates the risk of trial and the calculation of class-wide damages. While I am confident that Counsel could establish the damages incurred by the Settlement Class to the Court's satisfaction, the Settlement eliminates this complexity and risk.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> <u>https://buy.aura.com/aura-vs-</u>

competition?mktp=google&c1=19851773271&c2=166308233754&utm\_gateway=idt&utm\_sour ce=google&utm\_medium=cpc&utm\_content=701660352840&utm\_campaign=19851773271&ut m\_term=top%20rated%20identity%20protection&gad\_source=1&gbraid=0AAAAACTf6KwUE hlSgFvIBFGlePhtjS8n&gclid=Cj0KCQjw0Oq2BhCCARIsAA5hubX4sPwYjdfU0Si4Vkqj\_RqpS5a8cTjWU\_kGn

p3qRxMaKf6tZX83qscaAkumEALw wcB (last accessed Sept. 6, 2024).

#### Counsel's Total Fees & Expenses

21. Upon information and belief, Co-Lead Counsel have incurred a combined lodestar

of an \$247,525.52 and have combined expenses of \$19,979.71. *See* Declaration of William Federman and Declaration of Daniel Herrera, filed herewith.

22. Upon information and belief, firms not appointed as Interim Lead Counsel in this

matter have reasonably incurred a combined lodestar of \$68,419.80 as follows:

Timekeeper	Hourly Rate	Hours	Lodestar
David K. Lietz, Sr. Partner	\$997.00	1.2	\$1,196.40
David K. Lietz, Sr. Partner	\$1,057.00	1.5	\$1,585.50
Vicki Maniatis, Sr. Partner	\$997.00	0.3	\$299.10
Dean Meyer, Associate	\$413.00	5	\$2,065.00
John J. Nelson, Partner	\$508.00	3.2	\$1,625.60
Heather Sheflin, Paralegal	\$225.00	3.6	\$810.00
Sandra Passanisi, Paralegal	\$225.00	2.5	\$562.50
Ashley Tyrrell, Legal Secretary	\$208.00	1.4	\$291.00
Total		18.7	\$8,435.30

## Milberg Coleman Bryson Phillips Grossman PLLC

#### Chestnut Cambronne Lodestar

Timekeeper	Hourly Rate	Hours	Lodestar
Phil Krzeski, Attorney	\$595-\$625	7.2	\$4,635.00

## Markovits, Stock & DeMarco, LLC

Timekeeper	Hourly Rate	Hours	Lodestar
Terence R. Coates (Partner)	\$850	7.9	\$6,715.00
Dylan J. Gould (Attorney)	\$590	14.1	\$8,319.00
Total		22.0	\$15,034.00

## Siri & Glimstad LLP

Timekeeper	Hourly Rate	Hours	Lodestar
Mason Barney (Attorney)	\$975	7.4	\$7,215.00
Tyler Bean (Attorney)	\$675	10.2	\$6,885.00
Alcira Pena	\$240	18.7	\$4,488.00
Enrica Peters	\$240	11.5	\$2,760
Delilah Estefano	\$240	1	\$240
Total		48.8	\$21,588.00

Strauss Borelli PLLC			
Timekeeper	Hourly Rate	Hours	Lodestar
Raina Borrelli, Partner	\$700	5.2	\$3,640.00
Samuel Strauss, Partner	\$700	18.2	\$12,740
Zog Begolli, Associate	\$425	.2	\$85.00
Carolyn Chen, Associate	\$400	8.3	\$2,120
Rachel Pollack, Paralegal	\$225	.3	\$67.50
Rudis Requeno, Legal Assistant	\$150	.5	\$75.00
TOTAL		29.7	\$18,727.50

We have yet to receive a report for the lodestar expended by The Lyon Firm, Sanford Law Firm,

and Cole & Van Note.

23. Upon information and belief, firms not appointed as interim lead counsel in this

matter have incurred reasonable and necessary combined expenses of \$1,481.81 as follows:

Milberg Coleman Bryson Phillips Grossman PLLC		
Description	Amount	
Filing and Service	\$749.97	

<u>Siri &amp; Glimstad LLP</u>	
Description	Amount
Civil Case Opening Fee	\$402.00
PHV & Request for Judicial Intervention Fees	\$144.19
Certificate of Good Standing Fee	\$21.75
USPS Priority Mail Fee	\$28.75
FedEx Fee	\$23.65
Total	\$620.34

Strauss Borelli PLLC		
Description	Amount	
Service	\$91.50	
Pro Hac Related Fee	\$20.00	
Total	\$111.50	

24. Accordingly, counsel has incurred at least a combined \$315,945.32 in lodestar and \$21,461.52 in reasonable litigation costs. In moving for final approval, monitoring administration, and attending the final approval hearing, I expect the combined lodestar to increase by approximately \$25,000.

As set forth in the Settlement Agreement, Plaintiffs here seek \$415,000 in 25. combined fees and costs. This provision was negotiated only after all the other settlement terms had been finalized.

Executed on this 9<sup>th</sup> day of 2024 in Davidsonville, Maryland.

Danielle L. Perry